REMARKS

Claims 1-28 remain in the application with claims 1, 12, 22, and 24 having been amended hereby and new claims 29-32 having been added.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 12-19, 22, and 23 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Blonder et al. in view of Jones et al.

The present invention permits a user to decide how to shape an original data to form a so-called small-display data by selecting a desired service icon SA, as shown in Fig. 14, which corresponds to a unique service identifier 403, as shown in Fig. 7, and a processing condition data PCD. Thus, even when a different kind of mobile terminal 30 equipped with a bigger or smaller display is connected to the information processing apparatus 20, a user can select the best way to reassemble the original data for display on the currently connected mobile terminal 30.

More specifically, as explained in the present specification in connection with the processes represented in Figs. 15 and 17, for example, in step ST1 the user manipulates the mouse to click the service icon SA shown in Fig. 14 and in step ST2 the processor controller receives the service identifier 403 assigned to each service icon SA. In the next step the process controller reads the processing condition data PCD of the service identifier 403 received from the processing condition area and in step ST4 the process controller displays the acquire icon AA on the display device

using the image of the service identifier 403 in the processing condition data PCD.

In connection with the process shown in Fig. 17, in step ST11 the user clicks the acquired icon AA when the target page data PD is displayed on the file monitor. In response to the clicking of the acquired icon AA, the page data acquisition unit commences operation.

Further, in the specification at page 35, for example, the process is described such that the page data acquisition unit searches for the data file of the page data PD currently being observed based on the address AS. The page data acquisition unit then sends the acquired page data PD to the page data shaping unit, and the page data shaping unit shapes the page data to be displayable on the mobile terminal 30 based on the processing condition data PCD. As stated at page 40, for example, such page data shaping performed by the page data shaping unit involves a character count per line process and a line boundary character process on the textiles, for example. The page data shaping unit analyzes the first acquired page data and the second acquired page data according to the tag analysis conditions. As stated at page 41, on the other hand, the page data shaping unit extracts acquired image data PD2 as an image file within the page data PD to be converted according to the file condition in the shaping condition 408. The page data shaping unit then adjusts the converted bit map file in file size, file height, and width according to the imaging conditions.

The independent claims have been amended hereby to

emphasize the above-noted features of the present invention.

As previously noted, Blonder et al. does describe a system for displaying information on network devices having limited display capabilities. As noted by the examiner, Blonder et al. is silent concerning displaying a map or similar image on the device.

Jones et al. relates to a file conversion and display system in which various graphics and texts can be displayed.

Nevertheless, even combining Jones et al. with Blonder et al., the features of the present invention in which the user can select the best manner in which to reassemble the original data for display on the currently connected mobile telephone by selecting the characteristics to be modified as represented by the service icons SA that can be clicked on when they are displayed on the screen, as recited in the claims, are shown or suggested in the combination.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 20, 21, and 24-28 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Blonder et al. in view of Jones et al. and further in view of Serbinis et al.

Claims 20 and 21 depend from claim 12, which for the reasons set forth hereinabove is thought to be patentably distinct over the cited references and, for at least those very same reasons, claims 20 and 21 are also submitted to be patentably distinct thereover.

Serbinis et al. is cited for showing a processing means and an output means, as well as a control means for processing

data.

Nevertheless, it is respectfully submitted that Serbinis et al. does not cure the deficiency of Blonder et al. and Jones et al. relating to determining what characteristics of the first file are to be converted, as represented by the service icons as shown in Fig. 14.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are not rendered obvious by the combination of references.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Blonder et al. in view of Grossweiler et al. and further in view of Jones et al.

Grossweiler et al. is cited for its alleged showing of a step of determining whether data indicated by the detected identifier is displayable on the limited capability device. Nevertheless, nothing resembling that statement is contained in Grossweiler et al. at the cited portion of column 6, lines 19-41. Moreover, Grossweiler et al. is silent concerning the feature of the present invention involving determining the way in which the first file is to be converted by selecting characteristics represented by service icons being displayed, as taught by the present invention and as recited in claims 1-10.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over Blonder et al. in view of Grossweiler et al. and Jones et al. and further in view of Serbinis et al.

Claim 11 depends from claim 1, which for the reasons, set

forth hereinabove is thought to be patentably distinct over the cited references and, for at least those very same reasons claims 11 is also submitted to be patentably distinct thereover.

Accordingly, in view of the amendments made to the claims hereby, as well as the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that a method and apparatus for converting data for proper display, by selecting service icons and performing the proper conversion, as taught by the present invention and as recited in the amended claims, is neither shown nor suggested in the cited references, alone or in combination.

Entry of this amendment is earnestly solicited and it is respectfully submitted that this amendment raises no new issues requiring further consideration and/or search since only further details of the original invention have been provided.

The references cited as of interest have been reviewed and are not seen to show or suggest the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Jay H. Maioli
Reg. No. 27, 213

JHM:gr